

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab./8711.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Bhiwani Textile Mills, Bhiwani :—

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 591 of 1978

*between*

RAM KISHAN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BHIWANI TEXTILE  
MILLS, BHIWANI

*Present :—*

Shri Raghbir Singh, for the workman.

Shri B. R. Ghaiya, for the management.

#### AWARD

1. By order No. HSR/66-78/55615, dated 14th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Bhiwani Textile Mills, Bhiwani, and its workman Shri Ram Kishan to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

(1) Whether Shri Ram Kishan, son of Shri Puran Ram working in Carding Department is entitled to suspension allowance for the following dates? If so, with what details :—  
12-12-76, 4-9-76, 19-1-77 to 20-1-77, 27-3-77, 15-5-77 to 17-5-77, 22-4-77 and 23-4-77.

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed on 28th November, 1979 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the parties. Then negotiations for settlement started. On the last date of hearing the representative for the management stated that the dispute has been settled between the parties and the representative for the workman agreed to the settlement. In view of the statements given by both the parties, I give my award that there is no dispute between the parties as the parties have settled the dispute.

Dated the 26th June, 1280.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 587, dated 30th June, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab./8712.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. C. H. I. Dutt Brothers, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak).

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 14 of 1980

*between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. C. H. I. DUTT BROTHERS,  
BAHADURGARH (ROHTAK)

*Present :—*

Shri Rajinder Dharia, for the workmen.

Shri M. M. Kaushal, for the management.

#### AWARD

1. By order No. ID/SPT/167-79/8816, dated 19th February, 1980 the Governor of Haryana referred the following disputes between the management of M/s. C. H. I. Dutt Brothers, Bahadurgarh

(Rohtak) and its workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

- (1) Whether the workmen should be given attendance cards and their designation be written on the same? If so, with what details?
- (2) Whether the workmen should be supplied uniforms alongwith a pair of shoes? If so, with what details?
- (3) Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the year 1978-79 under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965? If so, with what details?

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed a settlement. Both the parties prayed that the award be given in terms of the settlement. The settlement is Ex. M-1. I, therefore, give my award in terms of the settlement and there is no dispute between the parties at present.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated 23rd June, 1980

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 586, dated 30th June, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Haryana, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

The 18th July, 1980

No. 11(112)-80-3 Lab./8953.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XI of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Seema Pencil Private Ltd., Sector 25, Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA FARIDABAD

Reference No. 24 of 1978

*between*

SHRI EJHAR BEG, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SEEMA PENCIL  
PRIVATE LIMITED, SECTOR 25, FARIDABAD.

*Present :*—

Shri S. R. Gupta, for the workman

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

#### AWARD

1. By order No. ID/Fd/645-77/3052, dated the 21st January, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Seema Pencil Private Limited, Sector-25, Faridabad, and its workman Shri Ejhar Bag, to this tribunal, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Ejhar Beg was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties issues were framed on 28th July, 1979 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman. Then the parties requested for

adjournments. On the last date of hearing the parties made statements that they had mutually settled the dispute and the workman would be paid a sum of Rs. 2400/- in full and final settlement of his claim. I, therefore, give my award that the management shall pay a sum of Rs. 2,400/- to the workman in full and final settlement of his dispute.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated 10th July, 1980

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 646, dated 12th July, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Fridabad.

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab/8960.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Bhogals, Mathura Road, Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 389 of 1978

*between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BHOGALS, MATHURA ROAD,  
FARIDABAD

Present :—

Shri P. K. De, for the workmen.

Shri S. L. Gupta, for the management.

#### AWARD

1. By order No. ID/FD/72-78/39693, dated 29th August, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following disputes between the management of M/s Bhogals, Mathura Road, Faridabad, and its workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

1. What should be the minimum wages of unskilled, semi-skilled and highly skilled categories of workers and the manner in which these should be linked with the consumer price index numbers ?
2. Whether grades and scales of pay of the workmen be fixed? If so, with what details?
3. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of interim relief? If so, with what details?
4. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the years 1976-77? If so, with what details?
5. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of house rent allowance? If so, with what details?
6. Whether the male workmen should be supplied with uniforms and shoes and lady workmen with series? If so, with what details?

7. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of travelling allowance? If so, with what details?
  8. Whether the scheme of production bonus be framed in the factory? If so, with what details?
2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed on 5th March, 1979 :—

1. Whether the dispute has been espoused by substantial number of workmen?
2. What should be the minimum wages of un-skilled, semi-skilled and highly skilled categories of workers and the manner in which these should be linked with the consumer price index numbers?
3. Whether grades and scales of pay of the workmen be fixed? If so, with what details?
4. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of interim relief? If so, with what details?
5. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the year 1976-77? If so, with what details?
6. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of house rent allowance? If so, with what details?
7. Whether the male workmen should be supplied with uniforms and shoes and lady workmen with saries? If so, with what details?
8. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of travelling allowance? If so, with what details?
9. Whether the scheme of production bonus be framed in the factory? If so, with what details?
10. Whether the union has a *locus standi* to raise the dispute?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the workmen. The workmen were allowed many opportunities for adducing their evidence. On the last date of hearing the representative for the management stated that he had no evidence to produce, so he may be allowed further time which was opposed by the representative for the management on the plea that for the last one year the workmen have not produced any evidence. Therefore, their evidence was closed and the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. The representative for the management made a statement that in the circumstances he was not to produce any evidence. In the absence of evidence it is need less to give findings on each of the issues separately. Therefore, in the absence of any evidence on any of the issues, I give my award that the workmen are not entitled to any relief.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated 10th July, 1980

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 645, dated 12th July, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

The 21st July, 1980

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab/9038.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Busching Schmitz Private Limited, Mathura Road, Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING, OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 340 of 1979

*between*

SHRI WINSTON D'CRUZ, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BUSCHING  
SCHMITZ PRIVATE LIMITED, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

*Present.—*

Shri P. K. De, for the workman.

Shri H. R. Dua, for the management.

**AWARD**

1. By order No. 167-79/45897, dated 29th October, 1979, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Busching Schmitz Private Limited, Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri Winston D'Cruz, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the dismissal of Shri Sinston D'Cruz was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed on 21st February, 1980 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. On the last date of hearing there was none present for the workman, though the case was called thrice. I feel that the workman is not interested in pursuing the reference. I, therefore, give my award that there is no dispute between the parties at present.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 14th July, 1980.

No. 672, dated 16th July, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab-9042.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947); the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jagdish Rai Contractor, Northern Steel Company Limited, Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 19 of 1980

*between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JAGDISH RAI, CONTRACTOR,  
NORTHERN STEEL COMPANY LIMITED, FARIDABAD

*Present.—*

Shri P. K. De, for the workmen.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

**AWARD**

1. By order No. ID/FD/53-79/10499, dated 27th February, 1980 the Governor of Haryana referred the following disputes between the management of M/s Jagdish Rai, Contractor, Northern Steel Company Limited, Faridabad and its workmen, to this Tribunal for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

(1) Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus @ 20% for the year 1977-78 ? If so, with what details ?

(2) Whether the workmen should be granted 15 days' festival holidays ? If so, with what details ?

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared. On the last date of hearing, the representative for the management stated that the dispute

has been settled and settlement is Ex. M-1. The representative for the workmen verified the factum of the settlement. Inview of the statements given by the representative for the parties, I give my award in terms of the settlement and there is no dispute now pending for adjudication.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated 14th July, 1980.

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 673, dated 16th July, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal Haryana,  
Faridabad.

The 22nd July, 1980

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab/9093.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Motern Industries, Plot No. 15, NIT, Faridabad:—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI I. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 30 of 1980

*between*

SHRI LOHRI PARSHAD, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S MOTERN  
INDUSTRIES, PLOT NO. 15, NIT, FARIDABAD

*Present.—*

Shri Bhim Singh Yadav, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the respondent-management.

#### AWARD

This reference No. 30 of 1980 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana, — vide his order No. ID/FD/92-79/2056, dated 15th January, 1980 under section 10(i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Lohri Parshad, workman and the management of M/s Motern Industries, Plot No. 15, NIT, Faridabad. The term of the reference was :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Lohri Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

After receiving the order of reference, notices were issued to both the parties for 12th February, 1980. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties following issues are framed:—

1. Whether the claimant was a workman of permanent nature with the management-respondent. If so, to what effect ? (O.P.M.)
2. If issue No. 1 is decided in favour of the claimant then whether the termination of the services of the claimant was proper and justified ? (O.P.M.)
3. Relief ?

The case was fixed for the evidence of the parties for 9th May, 1980. On 9th May, 1980, the Presiding Officer was on tour and the case was fixed for 11th June, 1980 for recording of evidence but the evidence could not be recorded as the workman was ill on that day, and the case was fixed for 24th June, 1980 for recording of the evidence of the workman. On that day the representative of the workman made a statement that the workman had received Rs. 250 along with his wages is full and final settlement of his claim. Now nothing remained due to the workman. The workman also forgave his right of reinstatement/re-employment. Mr. R. C. Sharma agreed to the statement made by the workman's representative.

In the light of the above statement made by Shri Bhim Singh Yadav, representative of the workman, I hold that the demand raised by the workman against the management leading to this reference has been duly satisfied. There is now no dispute remains to be adjudicated between the parties. Therefore, I give my award accordingly. So this award is in answer of this reference.

No order as to costs.

I. P. CHAUDHRY,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1190, dated the 18th July, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged with in week's time.

I. P. CHAUDHRY,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab/9095.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Chem Plast Industries, Sector-24, Faridabad.

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI I. P. CHAUDHRY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD**

**Reference No. 58 of 1979**

*between*

**SHRI KAILASH CHANDER, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S CHEM PLAST  
INDUSTRIES, SECTOR-24, FARIDABAD.**

*Present :*

Shri Amar Singh Sharma, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the Management.

**AWARD**

This reference No. 58 of 1979 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—*vide* his order No. ID/75-78/51770, dated 7th December, 1979 under section 10(1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Kailash Chander, workman and the management of M/s Chem Plast Industries, Sector-24, Faridabad. The term of the reference was :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Kailash Chander was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

After receiving this reference notices were issued to both the parties and both the parties appeared in this court through their authorised representatives and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties the following issues were framed on 6th February, 1980 :—

- (1) Whether the reference is bad in law and not covered under the I. D. Act, 1947 ?
- (2) Whether the termination of the services of the workman was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?
- (3) Relief.

No other issues pressed by the parties and the case was fixed for 12th February, 1980 for the evidence of the parties. The evidence of the management had been recorded on 12th February, 1980 and 29th February, 1980 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman for 16th May, 1980, 28th May, 1980, 24th June, 1980, 24th June, 1980 and 16th July, 1980.

Today the case was fixed for the appearance of the workman and recording his evidence, when the representative of the workman Shri Amar Singh Sharma made a statement in this court that he had no instructions from the workman concerned and he further stated that he was no more interested in the dispute and did not want to pursue this reference now.

As neither the workman himself nor his authorised representative were interested in pursuing the dispute, therefore, I give my award that there exists no dispute between the parties on the issues so referred to this court for adjudication. I thus answer the reference while returning the award in these terms. No order as to costs.

Dated the 16th July, 1980.

I. P. CHAUDHRY,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1181, dated the 18th July, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 with the request that the receipt of the above said awards may please be acknowledged within week's time.

I. P. CHAUDHRY,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab/9096.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. East India Cotton Mill (Ajanta Silk Mill Prints), Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI I. P. CHAUDHRY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 55 of 1979

*between*

SHRI RAM PIAREY, WORKMAN, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S EAST INDIA  
COTTON MILL (AJANTA SILK MILL PRINTS), FARIDABAD

*Present:*

Shri P. K. De, for the Workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

#### AWARD

This reference No. 55 of 1979 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/69-79/51740, dated 7th December, 1979, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute existing between Shri Ram Piarey, workman and the management of M/s. East India Cotton Mill (Ajanta Silk Mill Prints), Faridabad. The terms of the reference was :—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Piarey was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?"

After receiving this reference notices were issued to both the parties and both the parties appeared in this court through their authorised representatives. Both the parties filed their pleadings and on the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed on 13th February, 1980.

1. Whether this reference is not covered under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ? If so, to what effect ?

2. Whether the termination of the services of the workman is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No other issued passed by either of the parties.

On 16th July, 1980 the representative of the workman Shri P. K. De made a statement on oath in this court that he wants to withdraw this reference as there is incorrect address of the respondent Company is mentioned in his demand notice and this reference, and he wants to file fresh demand notice on the same grounds along with a few others.

In view of the above statement of Shri P. K. De, authorised representative of the workman, I give my award accordingly and hold that there is now no dispute remains to be adjudicated between the parties. No order as to costs. So this award is in answer of this reference.

I. P. CHAUDHRY,

Dated the 16th July, 1980.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1188, dated the 18th July, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

I. P. CHAUDHRY,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

H. L. GUGNANI, Secy.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT  
BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH

The 25th July, 1980

No. 11/14-B. & R.(Estt)-6-80.—On attaining the age of 58 years Shri Pritam Singh, Executive Engineer, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch retired on superannuation from service with effect from 30th June, 1980 (afternoon).

H. V. GOSWAMI,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Public Works Department, B. & R. Branch.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT  
BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH  
(Karnal Circle)

The 21st July, 1980

No. SE/Karnal/PWD/B&R/283/R.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land noted below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, Natheri to Dhanokheri Road, tehsil Karnal, district Karnal, it is therefore, hereby, declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt, and Executive Engineer, Karnal Provincial Division No. II, Karnal.

## SPECIFICATION

District and Tehsil	Locality/Village and Hadbast	Area in Acres	Rectangle/Killa No.
Karnal	Nathori No. 14 Khadar, Indri	6.25	8 10 18/2, 23/1, 23/2 3/1, 3/2, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14/1 10 10 14/2, 16, 17/1, 17/2, 24, 25 20
			10, 11/1, 11/2, 20/1, 20/2, 21, 22 21 24
			5/1, 5/2, 6/1, 6/2, 15 1, 2/1, 2/2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12 25
			10/1, 11, 12, 18 41, 42, 43, 44, 46
Karnal	Dhanokheri No. 4 Khadar Indri	3.5	42 47 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 13, 18, 47 53 19, 22, 23, 27 2, 3, 8, 9/1, 9/2, 12, 13, 19 54, 55, 56, 77, 81, 83

(Sd.) . . . ,

Superintending Engineer,  
Karnal Circle, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch,  
Karnal.

## (Ambala Circle)

The 12th July, 1980

No. SE/PWD/B&R/Ambala/619. -Whereas the Governor of Haryana is pleased that land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing a link road from B. P. S. Road to village Nawa Shahar in Ambala District, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provision of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. and the Executive Engineer.

## SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Khasra No.
Ambala	Jagadhri	Chaharwala	289	1.70	16 18 23/2, 24/2 3, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24 30
					3, 4/1, 7, 8/1, 8/2, 8/3, 13/1, 13/2, 14, 17, 30 61, 62. 18, 24
Ambala	Jagadhri	Nawa Shahar	342	0.64	2 6 18, 23/1, 23/2, 24 3, 4, 8/1, 14/1, 14/2 29, 31, 35, 40
			Total	2.34	